THE SPACE OF GENERALIZED $G_2$-THETA FUNCTIONS OF LEVEL ONE

CHLOÉ GRÉGOIRE AND CHRISTIAN PAULY

Abstract. Let $C$ be a smooth projective complex curve of genus at least 2. For a simply-connected complex Lie group $G$ the vector space of global sections $H^0(\mathcal{M}(G), \mathcal{L}^\otimes_l)$ of the $l$-th power of the ample generator $\mathcal{L}$ of the Picard group of the moduli stack of principal $G$-bundles over $C$ is commonly called the space of generalized $G$-theta functions or Verlinde space of level $l$. In the case $G = G_2$, the exceptional Lie group of automorphisms of the complex Cayley algebra, we study natural linear maps between the Verlinde space $H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}^\otimes_1)$ of level one and some Verlinde spaces for $SL_2$ and $SL_3$. We deduce that the image of the monodromy representation of the WZW-connection for $G = G_2$ and $l = 1$ is infinite.

1. Introduction

Let $C$ be a smooth projective complex curve of genus $g \geq 2$. For a complex semi-simple Lie group $G$ we denote by $\mathcal{M}(G)$ the moduli stack of principal $G$-bundles over $C$. If $G$ is simply-connected, the Picard group of the stack $\mathcal{M}(G)$ is infinite cyclic and we denote by $\mathcal{L}$ its ample generator. The finite-dimensional vector spaces of global sections $H^0(\mathcal{M}(G), \mathcal{L}^\otimes_l)$, the so-called spaces of generalized $G$-theta functions or Verlinde spaces of level $l$, have been intensively studied from different perspectives, e.g. gauge theory, mathematical theory of conformal blocks, and quantization. Note that much of the literature deals with the vector bundle case $G = SL_r$.

In this note we will study the Verlinde space $H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}^\otimes_1)$ for the smallest exceptional Lie group $G_2$ and at level 1. The starting point of our investigation was the striking numerical relation between the dimensions of the Verlinde spaces for $G_2$ at level 1 and for $SL_2$ at level 3

\begin{equation}
\dim H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}^\otimes_1) = \frac{1}{2g} \dim H^0(\mathcal{M}(SL_2), \mathcal{L}_{SL_2}^\otimes_3) = \left(\frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{g-1} + \left(\frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{g-1}.
\end{equation}

These dimensions are computed by the Verlinde formula (see e.g. [B5] Corollary 9.8). It turns out that linear maps between these Verlinde spaces arise in a natural way by restricting to some distinguished substacks in $\mathcal{M}(G_2)$. The group $G_2$ contains the subgroups $SL_2$ and $SO_4$ as maximal reductive subgroups of maximal rank. These group inclusions induce maps

\[ i : \mathcal{M}(SL_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G_2) \quad \text{and} \quad j : \mathcal{M}(SL_2) \times \mathcal{M}(SL_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G_2) \]

via the étale double cover $SL_2 \times SL_2 \rightarrow SO_4$.

Our main results are the following.
Theorem I. For any smooth curve $C$ of genus $g \geq 2$ the linear map obtained by pull-back by the map $j$ of global sections of $\mathcal{L}_{G_2}$

$$j^* : H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2}) \to \left[H^0(\mathcal{M}(SL_2), \mathcal{L}_{SL_2} \otimes H^0(\mathcal{M}(SL_2), \mathcal{L}_{SL_2})\right]_0$$

is an isomorphism.

Theorem II. For any smooth curve $C$ of genus $g \geq 2$ without vanishing theta-null the linear map obtained by pull-back by the map $i$ of global sections of $\mathcal{L}_{G_2}$

$$i^* : H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2}) \to H^0(\mathcal{M}(SL_3), \mathcal{L}_{SL_3})_+$$

is surjective.

The subscripts 0 and $+$ denote subspaces of invariant sections for the group of 2-torsion line bundles over $C$ and for the duality involution respectively.

The first example of isomorphism between Verlinde spaces was given in [B1] for the embedding $\mathbb{C}^* \subset SL_2$ at level 1. More recently, the rank-level dualities provide series of isomorphisms between Verlinde spaces (and their duals) for special pairs of structure groups. In this context Theorem I can be viewed as a new example.

Most of the constructions presented in this paper are valid for the coarse moduli spaces of semi-stable $G$-bundles over $C$. However, the generator $\mathcal{L}_{G_2}$ of the Picard group of the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}(G_2)$ does not descend [LS] to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(G_2)$ because the Dynkin index of $G_2$ is 2. This forces us to use the moduli stack.

Theorem I has an application to the flat projective connection on the bundle of conformal blocks associated to the Lie algebra $g_2$ at level 1. Let $\pi : C \to S$ be a family of smooth projective curves and consider the vector bundle $\nabla^*_1(g_2)$ over $S$ whose fiber over the curve $C = \pi^{-1}(s)$ equals the conformal block $\nabla^*_1(g_2)$. Note that this conformal block is canonically (up to homothety) isomorphic to our space $H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2})$ by the general Verlinde isomorphism [LS]. By [U] the vector bundle $\nabla^*_1(g_2)$ is equipped with a flat projective connection, the so-called WZW-connection. Then we have the

Corollary. There exist families of smooth curves of any genus $g \geq 2$ for which the projective monodromy representation of the projective WZW-connection on $\nabla^*_1(g_2)$ has infinite image.

In section 2 we review the properties of the exceptional group $G_2$ and of its subgroups, as well as some results on the Verlinde spaces for $SL_2$ at low levels. In section 3 we give the proof of the main theorems.
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2. Moduli spaces and moduli stacks of principal $G_2$-bundles

In this section we review some results on the exceptional group $G_2$ and on the moduli of principal $G_2$-bundles over a smooth projective curve $C$.

2.1. The exceptional group $G_2$ and its rank-2 subgroups. The complex exceptional group $G_2$ is given by one of the following equivalent definitions:

- as the automorphism group $G_2 = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$ of the complex 8-dimensional Cayley algebra or algebra of octonions $\mathbb{O}$ (see e.g. [Ba]).
- as the connected component of the stabilizer in $\text{GL}(V)$ of a non-degenerate alternating trilinear form $\omega : \Lambda^3 V \to \mathbb{C}$ on a complex 7-dimensional vector space $V$ (see e.g. [SK]).

We recall the following facts:

(a) For a generic trilinear form $\omega$ we have $\text{Stab}_{\text{GL}(V)}(\omega) = G_2 \times \mu_3$ and $\text{Stab}_{\text{SL}(V)}(\omega) = G_2$.

(b) Introducing $G_2$ as $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$ there is a natural non-degenerate $G_2$-invariant trilinear form on the space of purely imaginary octonions $V = \text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$ given by $\omega(x, y, z) = \text{Re}(xyz)$, as well as a non-degenerate symmetric $G_2$-invariant bilinear form given by $q(x, y) = \text{Re}(xy)$. This shows that $G_2$ is a subgroup of $\text{SO}_7$.

(c) The complex Lie group $G_2$ is connected, simply-connected, has no center and is of dimension 14.

According to [BD] the group $G_2$ has, up to conjugation, two maximal Lie subgroups of maximal rank, i.e. of rank 2. These two subgroups are of type $A_2$ and $A_1 \times A_1$ respectively. As we could not find a reference in the literature, we will give for the reader’s convenience an explicit realization of these subgroups in $G_2$.

- $\text{SL}_3 \subset G_2$. We consider a non-degenerate alternating trilinear form $\omega \in \Lambda^3 V^*$ and define $G_2 = \text{Stab}_{\text{SL}(V)}(\omega)$. We associate to $\omega$ the quadratic form $q_\omega : \text{Sym}^2 V \to \mathbb{C}$, $q_\omega(x, y) = L_x \omega \wedge L_y \omega \wedge \omega \in \Lambda^7 V^* \simeq \mathbb{C}$, where $L_x : \Lambda^3 V^* \to \Lambda^2 V^*$ denotes the contraction operator with the vector $x \in V$. Note that $\omega$ is non-degenerate iff $q_\omega$ is non-degenerate. We now choose a 3-dimensional subspace $W \subset V$ such that $W$ is isotropic for $q_\omega$ and such that the restriction $\omega_0 = \omega|_W \neq 0$. The following gives a description of $\text{SL}_3$ as a subgroup of $G_2$.

**Proposition 2.1.** With the above notation we have

$$\text{SL}_3 = \text{Stab}_{G_2}(W) = \{g \in G_2 \mid g(W) = W\}.$$ 

More precisely, the subspace $W \subset V$ induces a natural decomposition

$$V = W \oplus \Lambda^2 W \oplus \mathbb{C},$$

which coincides with the decomposition of $V$ as $\text{SL}_3$-module.

**Proof.** We consider the composite map

$$\iota : \Lambda^2 W \hookrightarrow \Lambda^2 V \xrightarrow{\iota} V^*,$$

where $L_\omega$ is contraction with $\omega \in \Lambda^3 V^*$. If we further compose with the projection $V^* \to W^*$, we obtain the isomorphism $\Lambda^2 W \xrightarrow{\sim} W^*$ induced by the non-zero restricted
form $\omega_0$. Hence $i$ is injective and we also denote by $\Lambda^2 W \subset V$ its image in $V$, which we identify with $V^*$ via the non-degenerate quadratic form $q_\omega$. Next we observe that $W \cap \Lambda^2 W = \{0\}$, since the composite map $W \to V^* \to W^*$ is zero — $W$ is isotropic. This shows that $W \oplus \Lambda^2 W$ is a hyperplane in $V$. Then we take the orthogonal complement to obtain the decomposition (2). We observe that any $g \in \text{Stab}_{G_2}(W)$ also preserves the subspace $\Lambda^2 W \subset V$, hence the decomposition (2). Moreover, since $g(\omega_0) = \omega_0$, we have $g \in \text{SL}_3 = \text{SL}(W)$. Hence $\text{Stab}_{G_2}(W) \subset \text{SL}_3$. On the other hand we consider the action of $G_2$ on the Grassmannian of isotropic subspaces $W \subset V$, which is of dimension 6. Hence $\dim \text{Stab}_{G_2}(W) \geq 8$, which leads to the equality $\text{Stab}_{G_2}(W) = \text{SL}_3$. □

- $\text{SO}_4 \subset G_2$. We need to recall some basic facts on quaternions and octonions. We begin by recalling that the complex octonion algebra $\mathcal{O}$ is generated as $\mathbb{C}$-vector space by the 8 basis vectors $e_0 = 1, e_1, \ldots, e_7$ satisfying the relations given by the Fano plane (see e.g. [Ba]). Then the algebra $\mathcal{O}$ contains as a subalgebra the complex quaternion algebra $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C}1 \oplus \mathbb{C}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}e_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}e_3$ and we have a vector space decomposition

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{He}_4.\tag{3}$$

We recall that the subgroup $U = \{p \in \mathbb{H} \mid p\overline{p} = 1\}$ of unit quaternions can be identified with the complex Lie group $\text{SL}_2$ and that there is a surjective group homomorphism

$$\varphi : U \times U \longrightarrow \text{SO}(\mathbb{H}) = \text{SO}_4, \quad \varphi(p, q) = [x \mapsto px\overline{q}]$$

with kernel $\mathbb{Z}/2$ generated by $(-1, -1)$. Using the decomposition (3) we consider the map

$$\psi : U \times U \longrightarrow \text{SO}(\mathcal{O}), \quad \psi(p, q) = (\varphi(p, p), \varphi(p, q)).$$

One easily checks that $\text{im} \psi \subset G_2$ and that $\ker \psi = \ker \varphi$. This gives a realization of $\text{SO}_4$ as subgroup of $G_2$. We also note that the center $Z(\text{SO}_4)$ is generated by $\varphi(-1, 1) = -\text{Id}_\mathbb{H}$ and that $\text{SO}_4$ is the centralizer of the element $\psi(-1, 1) = (\text{Id}_\mathbb{H}, -\text{Id}_\mathbb{H}) \in G_2$ of order 2 (see [BD]).

### 2.2. The moduli space $M(G_2)$ and the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}(G_2)$

Because of the equality $\text{Stab}_{\text{SL}(V)}(\omega) = G_2$, a principal $G_2$-bundle $E_{G_2}$ is equivalent to a rank-7 vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$ with trivial determinant equipped with a non-degenerate alternating trilinear form $\eta : \Lambda^3 \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{O}_C$. The correspondence is given by sending $E_{G_2}$ to $(\mathcal{V} = E_{G_2}(V), \eta)$ via the embedding $G_2 \subset \text{SL}(V)$. Moreover, it is shown in [S] that $E_{G_2}$ is semi-stable if and only if $\mathcal{V}$ is semi-stable. We therefore obtain a map between coarse moduli spaces of semi-stable bundles $M(G_2) \to M(\text{SL}_7)$.

Although the embeddings of $\text{SL}_3$ and $\text{SO}_4$ in $G_2$ are defined only up to conjugation, the induced maps between coarse moduli spaces of semi-stable principal bundles

$$i : M(\text{SL}_3) \to M(G_2) \quad \text{and} \quad j : M(\text{SL}_2) \times M(\text{SL}_2) \to M(\text{SO}_4) \to M(G_2)$$

are well-defined. We find it more convenient to work with the simply-connected group $\text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_2$, which is a double cover of the subgroup $\text{SO}_4$. Abusing notation we also denote by $i$ and $j$ their composites with the map $M(G_2) \to M(\text{SL}_7)$. It follows from the description of the subgroups $\text{SL}_3$ and $\text{SO}_4$ in the previous section that

$$i(E) = E \oplus E^* \oplus \mathcal{O}_C \quad \text{and} \quad j(F, G) = \text{End}_0(F) \oplus F \otimes G.\tag{4}$$
Here $E$ is an $SL_3$-bundle and $F$, $G$ are $SL_2$-bundles. Note that $i(E)$ and $j(F,G)$ are semi-stable if $E$, $F$ and $G$ are semi-stable.

**Remark:** It is shown in [4] that the singular locus of the moduli space $M(G_2)$ coincides with the union of the images $i(M(SL_3)) \cup j(M(SO_4))$.

We also denote by $i$ and $j$ the maps between the corresponding moduli stacks. Let $L_G$ denote the ample generator of the Picard group $Pic(M(G))$ when $G$ is a simply-connected group.

**Lemma 2.2.** With the above notation we have

$$i^* L_G = L_{SL_3} \quad \text{and} \quad j^* L_G = L_{SL_2}^\otimes 3 \otimes L_{SL_2}.$$  

**Proof.** This follows straightforwardly from a Dynkin index computation using the tables in [LS].

We consider the involution $\sigma : M(SL_3) \to M(SL_3)$ given by taking the dual $\sigma(E) = E^*$. Then the line bundle $L_{SL_3}$ is invariant under the involution $\sigma$. We consider the linearisation $\sigma^* L_{SL_3} \sim L_{SL_3}$ which restricts to the identity over the fixed points of $\sigma$ and denote by $H^0(M(SL_3), L_{SL_3})_+$ the subspace of invariant sections.

The group of 2-torsion line bundles $JC[2]$ acts on $M(SL_2)$ by tensor product and the Mumford group $G(L_{SL_2})$, a central extension of $JC[2]$, acts linearly on $H^0(M(SL_2), L_{SL_2})$ with level 1. The $G(L_{SL_2})$-representation $H^0(M(SL_2), L_{SL_2}^\otimes 3) \otimes H^0(M(SL_2), L_{SL_2})$ is of level 4 and therefore admits a linear $JC[2]$-action.

**Proposition 2.3.** The induced maps between Verlinde spaces

$$i^* : H^0(M(G_2), L_{G_2}) \to H^0(M(SL_3), L_{SL_3})_+$$

$$j^* : H^0(M(G_2), L_{G_2}) \to [H^0(M(SL_2), L_{SL_2}^\otimes 3) \otimes H^0(M(SL_2), L_{SL_2})]_0$$

take values in the subspace invariant under the involution $\sigma$ and the $JC[2]$-action respectively.

**Proof.** First we show that the map $i : M(SL_3) \to M(G_2)$ is $\sigma$-invariant. There is a natural inclusion between Weyl groups $W(SL_3) \subset W(G_2)$. Consider an element $g \in G_2$ which lifts an element in $W(G_2) \setminus W(SL_3)$. Then $g \notin SL_3$. As the subalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ of $\mathfrak{g}_2$ corresponds to the long roots and as $W(G_2)$ preserves the Cartan-Killing form, the inner automorphism $C(g)$ of $G_2$ induced by $g$ preserves the subgroup $SL_3$. The restriction of $C(g)$ to $SL_3$ is an outer automorphism, which permutes its two fundamental representations. It thus induces the involution $\sigma$ on the moduli stack $M(SL_3)$. Since any inner automorphism of $G_2$ induces the identity on the moduli stack $M(G_2)$, we obtain that $i$ is $\sigma$-invariant.

Since $i^* L_{G_2} = L_{SL_3}$ and since $i$ is $\sigma$-invariant, the line bundle $L_{SL_3}$ carries a natural $\sigma$-linearisation, namely the one which restricts to the identity over fixed points of $\sigma$. It is now clear that $\text{im}(i^*) \subset H^0(M(SL_3), L_{SL_3})_+$.

The second statement follows immediately from the fact that $j$ is invariant under the diagonal $JC[2]$-action on the moduli stack $M(SL_2) \times M(SL_2)$. \hfill $\square$
2.3. **A family of divisors in** \(\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2})\). Let \(\theta(C)\) resp. \(\theta^+(C)\) denote the set of theta-characteristics resp. even theta-characteristics over the curve \(C\). The moduli stack \(\mathcal{M}(SO_7)\) has two connected components \(\mathcal{M}^+(SO_7)\) and \(\mathcal{M}^-(SO_7)\) distinguished by the second Stiefel-Whitney class. Since \(\mathcal{M}(G_2)\) is connected, the homomorphism \(G_2 \subset SO_7\) induces a map

\[
\rho : \mathcal{M}(G_2) \to \mathcal{M}^+(SO_7).
\]

For each \(\kappa \in \theta(C)\) we introduce the Pfaffian line bundle \(\mathcal{P}_\kappa\) over \(\mathcal{M}^+(SO_7)\) (see e.g. [BLS] section 5). We have

\[
\rho^* \mathcal{P}_\kappa = \mathcal{L}_{G_2}.
\]

Moreover, for \(\kappa \in \theta^+(C)\), there exists a Cartier divisor \(\Delta_\kappa \in \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{M}^+(SO_7), \mathcal{P}_\kappa)\) with support \(\text{supp}(\Delta_\kappa) = \{ E \in \mathcal{M}^+(SO_7) \mid \dim H^0(C, E(\mathbb{C}^7) \otimes \kappa) > 0 \}\)

where \(E(\mathbb{C}^7)\) denotes the rank-7 vector bundle associated to \(E\). We also denote by \(\Delta_\kappa \in \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2})\) the pull-back \(\rho^*(\Delta_\kappa)\) to \(\mathcal{M}(G_2)\). We will show later (Corollary 3.2) that the family of divisors \(\{\Delta_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in \theta^+(C)}\) spans the linear system \(\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2})\). Abusing notation we also write \(\Delta_\kappa\) for a section of \(H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2})\) vanishing at the divisor \(\Delta_\kappa\).

2.4. **Verlinde spaces for** \(SL_2\) **at level 1, 2 and 3.** We denote \(V_n = H^0(\mathcal{M}(SL_2), \mathcal{L}_{SL_2}^\otimes n)\) for \(n \geq 1\). We now review some results from [B2] describing special bases of the vector spaces \(V_1 \otimes V_1\) and \(V_2\).

First we recall that the Mumford group \(G(\mathcal{L}_{SL_2})\) acts linearly on the space \(V_n\) with level \(n\), i.e., the center \(\mathbb{C}^* \subset G(\mathcal{L}_{SL_2})\) acts via \(\lambda \mapsto \lambda^n\). For \(n\) odd, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) irreducible \(G(\mathcal{L}_{SL_2})\)-module \(H_n\) of level \(n\). Note that \(\dim H_n = 2^n\). If \(n\) is divisible by 4, any \(G(\mathcal{L}_{SL_2})\)-module \(Z\) of level \(n\) admits a linear \(JC[2]\)-action. We denote by \(Z_0\) the \(JC[2]\)-invariant subspace of \(Z\).

We now list the results needed in the proof of Theorem II.

**Lemma 2.4.** We have

\[
\dim (V_1 \otimes V_3)_0 = \frac{1}{|JC[2]|} \dim V_1 \otimes V_3.
\]

**Proof.** By the general representation theory of Heisenberg groups, the \(G(\mathcal{L}_{SL_2})\)-module \(V_1 \otimes V_3\) decomposes into a direct sum of factors, which are all isomorphic to \(H_1 \otimes H_3\). It is then straightforward to show that the space of \(JC[2]\)-invariants \((H_1 \otimes H_3)_0\) is 1-dimensional. \(\square\)

**Proposition 2.5** ([B2]). The two \(G(\mathcal{L}_{SL_2})\)-modules \(V_1 \otimes V_1\) and \(V_2\) of level 2 decompose as direct sum of 1-dimensional character spaces for \(G(\mathcal{L}_{SL_2})\)

\[
V_1 \otimes V_1 = \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \theta(C)} \mathbb{C} \xi_\kappa, \quad V_2 = \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \theta^+(C)} \mathbb{C} d_\kappa.
\]

The supports of the zero divisors \(Z(d_\kappa)\) and \(Z(\xi_\kappa)\) equal

\[
\text{supp } Z(d_\kappa) = \{ E \in \mathcal{M}(SL_2) \mid \dim H^0(C, \text{End}_0(E) \otimes \kappa) > 0 \},
\]

\[
\text{supp } Z(\xi_\kappa) = \{ (E, F) \in \mathcal{M}(SL_2) \times \mathcal{M}(SL_2) \mid \dim H^0(C, E \otimes F \otimes \kappa) > 0 \}.
\]
Moreover, if $C$ has no vanishing theta-null, $\xi_\kappa$ is mapped to $d_\kappa$ by the multiplication map $V_1 \otimes V_1 \to V_2$.

**Proposition 2.6 ([A]).** For a general curve the multiplication map of global sections

$$\mu : V_1 \otimes V_2 \to V_3$$

is surjective.

### 3. Proof of the Main Theorems

In this section we give the proof of the two theorems and of the corollary stated in the introduction.

3.1. **Theorem I.** The first step is to show that the two spaces appearing at both ends of the map $j^*$ have the same dimension. The dimension of the space on the RHS is computed by Lemma 2.4. The statement then follows from (1) and from the equalities $\dim V_1 = 2^g$ and $|JC[2]| = 2^{2g}$.

The next step is to show that $j^*$ is surjective for a general curve, which will imply by the first step that $j^*$ is an isomorphism for a general curve. We consider the following map

$$\alpha : V_1 \otimes V_1 \otimes V_2 \to V_1 \otimes V_3, \quad u \otimes v \otimes w \mapsto u \otimes \mu(v \otimes w),$$

where $\mu$ is the multiplication map introduced in Proposition 2.6. By Proposition 2.6 $\alpha$ is surjective for a general curve, hence its restriction to the subspace of $JC[2]$-invariant sections $\alpha_0 : (V_1 \otimes V_1 \otimes V_2)_0 \to (V_1 \otimes V_3)_0$ remains surjective. Moreover, one easily works out that the family of tensors $\{\xi_\kappa \otimes d_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in \theta^+(C)}$ forms a basis of $(V_1 \otimes V_1 \otimes V_2)_0$.

We will use the family of divisors $\{\Delta_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in \theta^+(C)}$ introduced in section 2.3.

**Lemma 3.1.** For all $\kappa \in \theta^+(C)$ we have the equality (up to a scalar)

$$j^*(\Delta_\kappa) = \alpha_0(\xi_\kappa \otimes d_\kappa).$$

**Proof.** Using the description of $j$ given in (1) and the description of the divisors $Z(d_\kappa)$ and $Z(\xi_\kappa)$ given in Proposition 2.5 we obtain the following decomposition as divisor in $\mathcal{M}(\text{SL}_2) \times \mathcal{M}(\text{SL}_2)$

$$j^*(\Delta_\kappa) = pr_1^*Z(d_\kappa) + Z(\xi_\kappa),$$

where $pr_1$ is the projection onto the first factor. This shows the lemma.

Surjectivity (for a general curve) now follows as follows: since $\{\xi_\kappa \otimes d_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in \theta^+(C)}$ forms a basis of $(V_1 \otimes V_1 \otimes V_2)_0$ and since $\alpha_0$ is surjective, we see by Lemma 3.1 that the family $\{j^*(\Delta_\kappa)\}_{\kappa \in \theta^+(C)}$ generates $(V_1 \otimes V_3)_0$.

Finally, we complete the proof by showing that $j^*$ is an isomorphism for every smooth curve. We use the identification [LS] for any semi-simple, simply-connected complex Lie group $G$ of the Verlinde space $H^0(\mathcal{M}(G), \mathcal{L}^\otimes_l)$ with the space of conformal blocks $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g})$ at level $l$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of $G$, for the two cases $G = G_2$ and $G = \text{SL}_2 \times \text{SL}_2$. Then, [Be] Proposition 5.2 shows...
functoriality of the above isomorphism under group extensions. In our case $SL_2 \times SL_2 \to G_2$ the linear map $j^*$ can therefore be identified with the natural map
\[ \beta_C : \mathcal{V}_1^* (g_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_1^* (sl_2) \otimes \mathcal{V}_1^* (sl_2). \]
We can define this linear map for a family of smooth curves $\pi : C \to S$: by $\boxed{}$ there exist vector bundles of conformal blocks over the base $S$ together with a homomorphism $\beta$, which specializes over a point $s \in S$ to the linear map $\beta_{\pi^{-1}(s)}$. These vector bundles are equipped with flat projective connections, the so-called WZW connections.

We now observe that the Lie algebra embedding $sl_2 \oplus sl_2 \subset g_2$ is conformal — by direct computation. Then, by $\boxed{}$ Proposition 5.8, we obtain that the map $\beta$ is projectively flat for the two WZW connections, hence its rank is constant in the family $\pi : C \to S$. Since, by the previous step, $\beta_C$ is injective for a general curve $C$ (note that we do not take $JC[2]$-invariants on the conformal blocks), we conclude that $\beta$ is injective for any smooth curve. Hence $j^*$ is an isomorphism for any curve. This completes the proof.

From the above proof we immediately deduce the

**Corollary 3.2.** For a general curve the family $\{ \Delta \}_{\kappa \in \Theta^+(C)}$ linearly spans $\mathbb{P} H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2}).$

**Remark:** Note that Hitchin’s connection $\boxed{}$ is only defined on the vector bundle with fiber $H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2}^{SL_2})$. We only get a connection for $G_2$ at level 1 via the isomorphism with the bundle of conformal blocks.

### 3.2. Theorem II

We consider the family of divisors $\{ \Delta \}_{\kappa \in \Theta^+(C)}$ of $\mathbb{P} H^0(\mathcal{M}(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2})$ introduced in section 2.3. A straightforward computation shows that $i^*(\Delta) = H_\kappa$, where $H_\kappa \in \mathbb{P} H^0(\mathcal{M}(SL_3), \mathcal{L})_+$ is the divisor with support
\[ \text{supp}(H_\kappa) = \{ E \in \mathcal{M}(SL_3) \mid \dim H^0(C, E \otimes \kappa) > 0 \}. \]
Therefore, in order to show surjectivity of $i^*$, it is enough to show that the family $\{ H_\kappa \}_{\kappa \in \Theta^+(C)}$ linearly spans $\mathbb{P} H^0(\mathcal{M}(SL_3), \mathcal{L})_+$. This is done as follows.

We introduce the Riemann Theta divisor $\Theta = \{ L \in \text{Pic}^g(C) \mid \dim H^0(C, L) > 0 \}$ in the Picard variety $\text{Pic}^g(C)$ parameterizing degree $g - 1$ line bundles over $C$. We recall $\boxed{}$ that there is a canonical isomorphism
\[ H^0(\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C), 3\Theta)^* \sim \to H^0(\mathcal{M}(SL_3), \mathcal{L}), \]
which is invariant for the two involutions, i.e. $L \mapsto K_C \otimes L^{-1}$ on $\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ and $\sigma$ on $\mathcal{M}(SL_3)$ respectively. We thus obtain an isomorphism between subspaces of invariant divisors $|3\Theta|_+^* \cong \mathbb{P} H^0(\mathcal{M}(SL_3), \mathcal{L})_+$. Is is easy to check that via this isomorphism $H_\kappa = \varphi_{3\Theta}(\kappa)$, where
\[ \varphi_{3\Theta} : \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C) \longrightarrow |3\Theta|_+^* \]
is the rational map given by the linear system $|3\Theta|_+$. In order to show that the family of points $\{ \varphi_{3\Theta}(\kappa) \}_{\kappa \in \Theta^+(C)}$ linearly spans $|3\Theta|_+^*$, we factorize the map $\varphi_{3\Theta}$ as
\[ \varphi_{4\Theta} : \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C) \longrightarrow |4\Theta|_+^* \longrightarrow |3\Theta|_+^* , \]
where the first map is the rational map given by the linear system \( |4\Theta|_+ \) and the second is the projection induced by the inclusion \( H^0(3\Theta) \rightarrow H^0(4\Theta) \). The result then follows from the main statement in [KPS] saying that \( \{ \varphi_{4\Theta}(\kappa) \}_{\kappa \in \Theta^+(C)} \) is a projective basis of \( |4\Theta|^+ \) if \( C \) has no vanishing theta-null.

**Remark:** For a curve of genus 2 we observe that both spaces have the same dimension, hence \( i^* \) is an isomorphism in that case — note that any genus-2 curve is without vanishing theta-null.

3.3. **Corollary.** The statement of the corollary is proved in [LPS] for the conformal block \( V^*_3(sl_2) = H^0(M(SL_2), \mathcal{L}^\otimes_{SL_2}) \). Having already observed in the proof of Theorem I that the vector bundle map \( \beta \) is projectively flat for the WZW-connections, it suffices to show the statement for the \( JC[2] \)-invariants of \( V^*_3(sl_2) \otimes V^*_1(sl_2) \). This follows from [Be] Corollary 4.2.

4. **Some remarks**

Here we collect some additional computations.

4.1. **Verlinde formula for \( l = 2 \) and \( g = 2 \).** We just record the computation of the Verlinde number \( \dim H^0(M(G_2), \mathcal{L}^2) = 30 \). Since the line bundle \( \mathcal{L}^2 \) descends to the coarse moduli space \( M(G_2) \) we obtain a rational \( \theta \)-map

\[
\theta : M(G_2) \longrightarrow |\mathcal{L}^2|^* = \mathbb{P}^{29}.
\]

We refer to the paper [B4] for some results on the \( \theta \)-map on a genus-2 curve for vector bundles of small rank.

4.2. **Analogue for the exceptional group \( F_4 \).** There is a similar coincidence for the conformal embedding of Lie algebras \( \mathfrak{sl}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(6) \subset \mathfrak{f}_4 \). In fact, we observe that \( \dim H^0(M(F_4), \mathcal{L}_{F_4}) = \dim H^0(M(G_2), \mathcal{L}_{G_2}) \) and that \( \dim H^0(M(Sp_6), \mathcal{L}_{Sp_6}) = \dim H^0(M(SL_2), \mathcal{L}^\otimes_{SL_2}) \) (symplectic strange duality). Moreover, \( \ker(SL_2 \times Sp_6 \to F_4) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \). These facts suggest a similar isomorphism for the Verlinde space \( H^0(M(F_4), \mathcal{L}_{F_4}) \), but the method presented in this paper does to apply to that case.
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