Experimental verification of a fully inseparable tripartite continuous-variable state
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A continuous-variable tripartite entangled state is experimentally generated by combining three independent squeezed vacuum states and the variances of its relative positions and total momentum are measured. We show that the measured values violate the separability criteria based on the sum of these quantities and prove the full inseparability of the generated state.
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The remarkable proposal of quantum teleportation [1] demonstrates that the quantum correlations of a shared entangled state enable two parties to reliably exchange quantum information. So far, several experiments on quantum communication with discrete-variable states have been carried out. In the domain of continuous variables (CVs), the unconditional quantum teleportation of arbitrary coherent states [2,3,4] and quantum dense coding [5] have been demonstrated. These successful experiments show the advantage of CV teleportation for the implementation of quantum protocol; that is, the simplicity of its generation and manipulation and the applicability of efficient homodyne techniques to its detection.

CV entanglement may also be applicable to quantum protocols involving more than two parties. For example, tripartite entanglement (the entanglement shared by three parties) enables one to construct a quantum teleportation network [6], to build an optimal one to two telecloner [7], or to perform controlled dense coding [8]. CV tripartite entanglement can be generated in a similar way as in the case of CV bipartite entanglement. It only requires combining three modes using linear optics, where at least one of these modes is in a squeezed state [9]. In fact, as pointed out in Ref. [9], CV tripartite entanglement has already been generated in the CV quantum teleportation experiment of Ref. [2], although no further investigation was made there. On the other hand, the separability properties of tripartite states are more complicated than in the bipartite case: three-mode Gaussian states are classified into five different classes [10]. In order to exploit the tripartite entanglement for three-party quantum protocols such as that from Ref. [9], the state involved has to be fully inseparable (class I in Ref. [10]). Although the output state that emerges from the beam splitters with one or more squeezed input states is in principle fully inseparable for any nonzero squeezing [10], inevitable losses in the real experiment may destroy the genuine tripartite entanglement and convert the state into a partially or fully separable one. This would make a true tripartite quantum protocol fail. In other words, the success of a true tripartite quantum protocol (e.g., a coherent-state quantum teleportation network with fidelities better than one half) is a sufficient criterion for the full inseparability of the state involved [9]. It should be noted here that the success of a tripartite quantum protocol between two parties with the help of the third party (e.g., via a momentum detection of the mode three) does not guarantee that the third party is inseparable from the rest. In the example of the protocol of Ref. [6], the full inseparability can be proven only when the protocol succeeds between at least two different pairs or, more generally, when the positions and momenta of all three parties are part of the protocol.

Though the full inseparability is unambiguously verified this way, an alternative verification scheme that does not rely on a full quantum protocol is desirable. In the bipartite case, the inseparability may also be verified simply by measuring the variances of relative position and total momentum [11,12]. Recently, a similar scheme to verify the full inseparability of CV tripartite entangled states was proposed [13], based on the variances of appropriate linear combinations in position and momentum. In this letter, we generate a tripartite entangled state by combining three independent squeezed vacuum states and demonstrate its full inseparability by applying the scheme of Ref. [13].

Let us introduce the position and momentum quadrature-phase amplitude operators $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{p}$ corresponding to the real and imaginary part of an electromagnetic field mode’s annihilation operator, respectively: $\hat{a} = \hat{x} + i\hat{p}$ (units-free with $\hbar = \frac{1}{2}$, $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = \frac{i}{\hbar}$). The simplest way to generate a tripartite entangled state is to send a single-mode squeezed vacuum state $\ket{x = 0}$ (idealized by an eigenstate corresponding to infinite squeezing) into a series of two beam splitters [3]. In this
case, the inputs of the two unused ports are vacuum states. This is practically easy to implement, but when applied to a quantum protocol, the performance would be of only limited quality due to the two vacuum input states. For example, in the teleportation network, the maximum fidelity between any pair is then $1/\sqrt{2}$ in the limit of infinite squeezing (excluding additional local squeezers). In order to approach unit fidelity (perfect teleportation), one needs to send squeezed states into all input ports. An example is the CV counterpart of the Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) state $|\text{GHZ}\rangle$. This GHZ state can be generated by sending a momentum-squeezed vacuum state $|p=0\rangle_1$ and two position-squeezed vacuum states $|x=0\rangle_2$ and $|x=0\rangle_3$ into a “tritter” $|\text{tritter}\rangle$, which consists of two beam splitters with transmission/reflection of 1/2 and 1/1. In order to show this, we define a beam splitter operator $B_{ij}(\theta)$ which transforms two input modes $\hat{a}_{i,j}$ as

$$\hat{B}^\dagger_{ij}(\theta) \left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{a}_i \\ \hat{a}_j \end{array} \right) \hat{B}_{ij}(\theta) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{a}_i \cos \theta + \hat{a}_j \sin \theta \\ \hat{a}_i \sin \theta - \hat{a}_j \cos \theta \end{array} \right). \tag{1}$$

The transmittance $T$ and the reflectivity $R$ of the beam splitter are expressed by $T = \cos^2 \theta$ and $R = \sin^2 \theta$, respectively. Applying first $\hat{B}_{12}(\cos^{-1} 1/\sqrt{3})$ and then $\hat{B}_{23}(\pi/4)$ to the input state $|p=0\rangle_1|x=0\rangle_2|x=0\rangle_3$ yields $\int dx |x_1|x_2|x_3\rangle$. This CV GHZ state is a simultaneous eigenstate of zero total momentum $(p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 0)$ and zero relative positions $(x_1 - x_2 = 0)$ and exhibits maximal entanglement.

In the real experiment, only finite squeezing is available. Thus the output state is no longer the ideal CV GHZ state and it can never be maximally entangled. Accordingly, total momentum and relative positions have finite variances: $\langle \Delta(p_1 + p_2 + p_3)^2 \rangle > 0$ and $\langle \Delta(x_1 - x_2)^2 \rangle > 0$. This becomes clear when we express the operators for the three output modes in the Heisenberg picture $|\text{opt}\rangle$:

$$\hat{x}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e^{r_1} \hat{x}_1^{(0)} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} e^{-r_2} \hat{x}_2^{(0)},$$
$$\hat{p}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e^{-r_1} \hat{p}_1^{(0)} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} e^{r_2} \hat{p}_2^{(0)},$$
$$\hat{x}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e^{r_1} \hat{x}_1^{(0)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} e^{-r_2} \hat{x}_2^{(0)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-r_3} \hat{x}_3^{(0)},$$
$$\hat{p}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e^{-r_1} \hat{p}_1^{(0)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} e^{r_2} \hat{p}_2^{(0)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{r_3} \hat{p}_3^{(0)},$$
$$\hat{x}_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e^{r_1} \hat{x}_1^{(0)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} e^{-r_2} \hat{x}_2^{(0)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-r_3} \hat{x}_3^{(0)},$$
$$\hat{p}_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e^{-r_1} \hat{p}_1^{(0)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} e^{r_2} \hat{p}_2^{(0)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{r_3} \hat{p}_3^{(0)}. \tag{2}$$

Here a superscript $(0)$ denotes initial vacuum modes, and $r_1$, $r_2$, and $r_3$ are the squeezing parameters. In addition to the finite squeezing, the inevitable losses in the experiment further degrade the entanglement. It is important to stabilize the relative phase of the three input modes in order to properly adjust the squeezing directions. The phase fluctuations in this stabilization lead to an extra degradation of the entanglement. As a result, the output state does not necessarily exhibit genuine tripartite entanglement: it may be fully or partially separable. Therefore, we need to experimentally verify the full inseparability of the state.

A feasible scheme for this purpose is to check the following set of inequalities $|\text{opt}\rangle$:

I. $\langle \Delta(\hat{x}_1 - \hat{x}_2)^2 \rangle + \langle \Delta(\hat{p}_1 + \hat{p}_2 + g\hat{p}_3)^2 \rangle \geq 1,$

II. $\langle \Delta(\hat{x}_2 - \hat{x}_3)^2 \rangle + \langle \Delta(g\hat{p}_1 + \hat{p}_2 + \hat{p}_3)^2 \rangle \geq 1,$

III. $\langle \Delta(\hat{x}_3 - \hat{x}_1)^2 \rangle + \langle \Delta(\hat{p}_1 + g\hat{p}_2 + \hat{p}_3)^2 \rangle \geq 1. \tag{3}$

Here, the $g_i$ are arbitrary real parameters. Note that the variances of the vacuum state are $\langle \Delta(\hat{x}_1^{(0)})^2 \rangle = \langle \Delta(\hat{p}_1^{(0)})^2 \rangle = 1/4$. The violation of inequality I. is a sufficient condition for the inseparability of modes 1 and 2 and is a criterion for the success of a quantum protocol between parties 1 and 2. Note that inequality I. alone does not impose any restriction on the separability of mode 3 from the others. In other words, the success of a quantum protocol between parties 1 and 2 with the help of party 3 (by conveying classical information about a measurement of $\hat{p}_3$) does not prove the inseparability of the third party from the rest. Thus, we need to check the violation of at least two of the three inequalities $|\text{opt}\rangle$ to verify the full inseparability of the tripartite entangled state $|\text{opt}\rangle$.

From Eq. $|\text{opt}\rangle$ we find that the optimum gain $g_i^{\text{opt}}$ to minimize the l.h.s. of Ineq. $|\text{opt}\rangle$ depends on the squeezing parameters, namely

$$g_i^{\text{opt}} = \frac{e^{2r_2} - e^{-2r_1}}{e^{2r_2} - e^{-2r_1}}. \tag{4}$$

where $r_2 = r_3$ (which makes the three-mode state totally symmetric and hence $g_i^{\text{opt}}$ independent of $i$). In the case of infinite squeezing (CV GHZ state), the optimum gain $g_i^{\text{opt}}$ is one, while it is less than one for finite squeezing.

Although the smallest values of the l.h.s. of Ineq. $|\text{opt}\rangle$ are observed when we experimentally adjust $g_i^{\text{opt}}$, we employ $g_i = 1$ for all $i$. This makes the experimental verification simpler. Moreover, the measured variances then directly correspond to those of the eigenvalues of the ideal CV GHZ state (relative positions and total momentum).

Figure $|\text{opt}\rangle$ shows the schematic of the experimental setup to generate three independent squeezed vacuum states. We use a subthreshold degenerate optical parametric oscillator (OPO) with a potassium niobate crystal (length 10mm). Each OPO cavity is a bow-tie type ring cavity which consists of two spherical mirrors (radius of curvature 50mm) and two flat mirrors. The round trip length is 500mm and the waist size in the crystal is 20μm. An output of a Ti:Sapphire laser at 860nm is frequency-doubled in an external cavity with the same configuration as for the OPOs and divided into three beams to pump three OPOs. The pump powers are 56, 71, and 78.
mW for OPO 1, 2, and 3, respectively. The squeezed vacuum outputs from these OPOs are combined at two beam splitters to generate the approximate CV GHZ state (see Fig. 3). The visibilities of this combination are 0.968 for the input modes 1 and 2, and 0.948 for 2 and 3. The output modes from the beam splitters are fed into the homodyne detectors 1, 2, and 3 with local oscillator (LO) powers of 1.3, 1.7, and 1.5 mW, and visibilities between the input modes to the homodyne detectors and LOs of 0.979, 0.971, and 0.989, respectively.

We first measure the noise power of each output mode. Figure 2 shows the measurement results on output mode 1. The minimum noise level of 0.75±0.27 dB compared to the corresponding vacuum noise level is observed for the $x$ quadrature, while the maximum noise level of 4.69±0.26 dB is observed for the $p$ quadrature. Similarly, the minimum noise levels of 0.75±0.27 and 1.21±0.29 dB for the $x$ quadrature and the maximum noise levels of 4.12±0.27 and 4.69±0.21 dB for $p$ are observed for output modes 2 and 3, respectively. Note that the observed noise levels are always above the corresponding vacuum noise level.

Next we measure the variances of the relative positions and the total momentum from Ineq. 6. Figure 3(a) shows the schematic of the measurement of the variances \(\langle |\Delta(x_3-x_1)|^2\rangle\) and \(\langle |\Delta(x_2-x_3)|^2\rangle\). The outputs of the homodyne detection are electronically subtracted, and the noise power is measured by spectrum analyzers. The variance \(\langle |\Delta(x_1-x_2)|^2\rangle\) is measured in a similar manner. In the case of the variance \(\langle |\Delta(p_1+p_2+p_3)|^2\rangle\), the noise power of the electronical sum of the homodyne detection outputs is measured as shown in Fig. 3(b).

Figure 4 shows a series of measurement results of (a) \(\langle |\Delta(x_1-x_2)|^2\rangle\), (b) \(\langle |\Delta(x_2-x_3)|^2\rangle\), (c) \(\langle |\Delta(x_3-x_1)|^2\rangle\), and (d) \(\langle |\Delta(p_1+p_2+p_3)|^2\rangle\), which have the average noise power of $-1.95$, $-2.04$, $-1.78$, and $-1.75$ dB, respec-
These results clearly show the nonclassical correlations among the three modes. After repeating the measurement series ten times, we obtain the following measured values for the l.h.s. of Ineq. 4,

\[
\begin{align*}
I. & \quad \langle [\Delta(\hat{x}_1 - \hat{x}_2)]^2 \rangle + \langle [\Delta(\hat{p}_1 + \hat{p}_2 + \hat{p}_3)]^2 \rangle = 0.851 \pm 0.062 < 1, \\
II. & \quad \langle [\Delta(\hat{x}_2 - \hat{x}_3)]^2 \rangle + \langle [\Delta(\hat{p}_1 + \hat{p}_2 + \hat{p}_3)]^2 \rangle = 0.840 \pm 0.065 < 1, \\
III. & \quad \langle [\Delta(\hat{x}_3 - \hat{x}_1)]^2 \rangle + \langle [\Delta(\hat{p}_1 + \hat{p}_2 + \hat{p}_3)]^2 \rangle = 0.867 \pm 0.062 < 1.
\end{align*}
\]

Since violations of all the inequalities are demonstrated, we have proven the full inseparability of the generated tripartite entangled state.

In summary, we have generated a tripartite CV entangled state and verified its full inseparability according to the criteria based on the variances of the relative positions and the total momentum. The violations of all the inequalities verify the presence of genuine tripartite entanglement. Moreover, they ensure that a suitable true tripartite quantum communication protocol using the generated state would succeed. For example, a fidelity greater than one half would be achievable between any pair of parties in a tripartite quantum teleportation network with arbitrary coherent signal states. Let us finally note that the generated tripartite entangled state, though being a Gaussian state with an always positive Wigner function, potentially exhibits nonlocal correlations between the three modes: it can be shown that this state violates three-party Bell-Mermin-Klyshko inequalities imposed by local realism. However, instead of the relatively simple homodyne measurements, photon number parity measurements are required to detect this nonlocality which is unfeasible with current technology.
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