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Abstract

In this paper, we present new algorithms and data structures for the nearest neighbor searching where the input points are exact and the query point is uncertain under the $L_1$ distance metric. The uncertain query point is represented by a discrete probability density function (pdf), and the goal is to return the expected nearest neighbor, which minimizes the expected distance to the query point. Given a set of $n$ exact points in the plane, we build an $O(n \log n \log \log n)$-size data structure in $O(n \log n \log \log n)$ time such that for any uncertain query point with $k$ possible locations, the expected nearest neighbor can be found in $O(k \log^2 n + k \log k)$ time. The previously best method (in PODS 2012) for this problem requires $O(n \log^2 n)$ preprocessing time, $O(n \log^2 n)$ space, and $O(k^2 \log^3 n)$ query time. In addition, for the one-dimensional version of this problem, we build an $O(n)$-size data structure in $O(n \log n)$ time that can support $O(k + \log n)$ time queries.

1 Introduction

Nearest neighbor searching is a fundamental and well-studied problem in computational geometry, due to its wide range of applications in databases, computer vision, image processing, information retrieval, pattern recognition, etc [4, 10]. In general, for a set $P$ of points in the $d$-D space $\mathbb{R}^d$, the problem asks for a data structure to quickly report the nearest neighbor in $P$ for any query point.

In many applications, e.g. face recognition and sensor networks, data is inherently imprecise due to various reasons, such as noise or multiple observations. Numerous classic problems, including clustering [12], skylines [1, 22], range queries [2], and nearest neighbor searching [3, 26], have been casted and studied under uncertainty in the past few years. In this paper, we are also interested in the nearest neighbor searching in uncertainty data. Further, we focus on the distances measured by the $L_1$ metric, which is appropriate for certain applications such as VLSI design automation.

1.1 The Problem Statement, Previous Work, and Our Results

An uncertain point $Q$ in the $d$-D space $\mathbb{R}^d$ (for $d \geq 1$) is represented as a discrete probability density function (pdf) $f_Q : Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Instead of having one exact location, $Q$ has a set of $k$ possible locations: $Q = \{q_1, \cdots, q_k\}$, where $q_i$ has probability $w_i = f_Q(q_i) \geq 0$ being the true location of $Q$, and $\sum_{i=1}^k w_i = 1$. Throughout the paper, we use $k$ to denote the number of the possible locations of any uncertain point $Q$; $k$ is also known as the description complexity of $Q$ [3].

For any two exact points $p$ and $q$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$, denote by $d(p, q)$ the distance of $p$ and $q$. For any exact point $p$ and any uncertain point $Q$, their expected distance, denoted by $Ed(p, Q)$, is defined to be

$$Ed(p, Q) = \sum_{i=1}^k w_i d(p, q_i).$$
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Let \( P \) be a set of \( n \) exact points in \( \mathbb{R}^d \). For any uncertain query point \( Q \), the expected nearest neighbor (ENN) of \( Q \) in \( P \), denoted by \( \psi(P, Q) \), is
\[
 \psi(P, Q) = \arg\min_{p \in P} \mathbb{E}(d(p, Q)).
\]
In other words, \( \psi(P, Q) \) is a point of \( P \) whose expected distance to \( Q \) is minimum among all points in \( P \).

Given a set \( P \) of \( n \) exact points in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), the expected nearest neighbor searching (or ENN searching) with uncertainty query problem is to design a data structure for \( P \) to quickly report the ENN of \( Q \) in \( P \) for any uncertain query point \( Q \).

In this paper, we consider the ENN searching with uncertainty query problem in the plane (i.e., \( d = 2 \)). Further, we focus on the \( L_1 \) distance, i.e., the distance \( d(p, q) \) is measured by the \( L_1 \) metric. Specifically, for any two exact points \( p \) and \( q \), suppose their coordinates are \((p_x, p_y)\) and \((q_x, q_y)\), respectively; then \( d(p, q) = |p_x - q_x| + |p_y - q_y| \).

The \( L_1 \) ENN searching problem in the plane has been studied by Agarwal et al. [3], where an \( O(n \log^2 n) \)-size data structure is constructed in \( O(n \log^2 n) \) time such that each ENN query can be answered in \( O(k^2 \log^3 n) \) time. In this paper, we give a new data structure for the problem. The data structure can be built in \( O(n \log^2 n \log \log n) \) time and \( O(n \log^2 n \log \log n) \) space, and the query time is \( O(k \log^2 n + k \log k) \). Our data structure is based on new observations and deep understanding on the problem, as well as advanced data structures, e.g., the compact interval trees [14] and the segment-dragging query data structure [6]. Comparing with the previous work in [3], our data structure has smaller preprocessing time and space, and less query time.

In addition, we also present data structures for the ENN searching in the one-dimensional space (i.e, \( d = 1 \)), under either \( L_1 \) or \( L_2 \) metric (i.e., the Euclidean metric). Note that in the 1-D space, the \( L_1 \) metric is the same as the \( L_2 \) metric. For the \( L_2 \) metric, only approximation results have been given in the high-dimensional space when \( d \geq 2 \), e.g., [3, 17]. In contrast, we present an exact data structure for the 1-D case with \( O(n \log n) \) preprocessing time and \( O(n) \) space, and each query can be answered in \( O(k + \log n) \) time.

### 1.2 Related Work

Different models have been proposed for the nearest neighbor searching under uncertainty.

In the model of probabilistic nearest neighbor (PNN), each input point in \( P \) is an uncertain point that has probabilities to appear at certain locations. For any query point, one can look at the probability of each input point being the nearest neighbor of the query point. The main drawback of PNN is that it is computationally expensive: the nearest neighbor not only depends on the query point, but also depends on the probabilities of all input points. The model has been widely studied [5, 7, 8, 9, 15, 18, 23, 26]. All of these methods were R-tree based heuristics and did not provide any guarantee on the query time in the worst case. For instance, Cheng et al. [7] studied the PNN query that returns those uncertain points whose probabilities of being the nearest neighbor are higher than some threshold, allowing some given errors in the answers.

In the model of superseding nearest neighbor (SNN) [26], given a query point, one can look at the superseding relationship of each pair of input points: one supersedes the other if only and if it has probability more than 0.5 being the nearest neighbor of the query point, where the probability computation is restricted to this pair of points. One can return the point, if such one exists, which supersedes all the others. Otherwise, one returns the minimal set \( S \) of data points such that any data point in \( S \) supersedes any data point not in \( S \).
For the model of ENN, one looks at the expected distance from each data point to the query point. Since the expected distance of any input point only depends on the query point, efficient data structures are available. Ljosa et al. \cite{Ljosa2014} investigated the expected k-NN under $L_1$ metric using and obtained $\varepsilon$-approximation. Recently, Agarwal et al. \cite{Agarwal2018} gave the first nontrivial methods for answering exact or approximate expected nearest neighbor queries under various distance functions (e.g., $L_1$, $L_2$, and the squared Euclidean distance) with provable performance guarantee. Efficient data structures are also provided in \cite{Agarwal2018} when the input data is uncertain and the query data is exact. It should be noted that ENN is not a good indicator under large uncertainty (e.g. refer to \cite{Tian2019} for an explanation).

When the input points are exact and the query point is uncertain, ENN is the same as the weighted version of the Sum aggregate nearest neighbors (ANN), which is a generalization of the Sum ANN. Only heuristics algorithms are known for answering Sum ANN queries \cite{Shah2017, Li2018, Agarwal2018, Ljosa2014, Ljosa2015, Ljosa2016, Ljosa2017, Ljosa2018}. The best known heuristic algorithm for exact (weighted) Sum ANN queries is a R-tree based MEM method \cite{Ljosa2014}, and Li et al. \cite{Li2018} gave a data structure with 3-approximation query performance for the Sum ANN. Agarwal et al. \cite{Agarwal2018} gave a data structure with a polynomial-time approximation scheme for the ENN queries under the Euclidean distance metric, which also works for the Sum ANN queries.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give our results in the one-dimensional space, which are generalized to the two-dimensional space in Section 3. One may view Section 2 as a “warm-up” for Section 3. Section 4 concludes the paper.

For simplicity of discussion, we make a general position assumption that no two points in $P \cup Q$ have the same $x$- or $y$-coordinate for any query $Q$. Our techniques can be extended to the general case. In the following paper, we always use $Q$ as the uncertain query point. To simplify the notation, we will write $\text{Ed}(p)$ for $\text{Ed}(p, Q)$, and $\psi(P)$ for $\psi(P, Q)$. For any subset $P' \subseteq P$, denote by $\psi(P')$ (or $\psi(P', Q)$) the ENN of $Q$ in $P'$. For any point $q \in Q$, let $w(q)$ denote the probability of $Q$ being located at $q$. Although $\sum_{q \in Q} w(q) = 1$, as a theoretical generalization, our techniques also work for the case where $\sum_{q \in Q} w(q) \neq 1$. We simply define $W = \sum_{q \in Q} w(q)$.

## 2 The ENN Searching on the Real Line

In the 1-D case, all input points in $P$ are on a real line $L$. We assume $L$ is the $x$-axis in the plane. Consider any uncertain query point $Q = \{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}$ on $L$. For any point $p$ on $L$, denote by $x(p)$ the coordinate of $p$ on $L$. Our goal for the query $Q$ is to find $\psi(P)$, which is a point $p$ in $P$ minimizing the expected distance $\text{Ed}(p) = \sum_{q \in Q} w(q)d(p, q)$, where $d(p, q) = |x(p) - x(q)|$.

A point $p$ on $L$ is global minimum if it minimizes the expected distance $\text{Ed}(p)$ among all points on $L$. Note that the global minimum point on $L$ may not be unique.

To find $\psi(P)$, we use the following strategy. First, we find a global minimum point $p^*$ on $L$. Second, the point $p^*$ partitions $L$ into two half-lines, and for each half-line, we find the point $p$ in $P$ on the half-line that is closest to $p^*$; we claim that one of the above two points that has smaller expected distance to $Q$ is $\psi(P)$. The details are given below. We first show how to find $p^*$.

Note that the points in $Q$ ordered by their indices may not be a sorted order by their coordinates on $L$. Recall that $W = \sum_{q \in Q} w(q)$. Let $q^*$ be the point in $Q$ such that

$$\sum_{x(q) < x(q^*), q \in Q} w(q) < W/2 \quad \text{and} \quad w(q^*) + \sum_{x(q) < x(q^*), q \in Q} w(q) \geq W/2.$$

In other words, if we view $w(q)$ as the weight of $x(q)$ for each $q \in Q$, then $x(q^*)$ is the weighted
median of the set \{x(q) \mid q \in Q\} \[1\]. We claim that \(q^*\) is a global minimum point on \(L\). In order to prove the claim, we first prove the following Lemma \[1\].

**Lemma 1** For any point \(p\) on \(L\) and \(p \neq q^*\), if we move \(p\) on \(L\) towards \(q^*\), the expected distance \(Ed(p)\) is monotonically decreasing.

**Proof:** Without loss of generality, assume \(p\) is on the left side of \(q^*\) and we move \(p\) on \(L\) to the right towards \(q^*\). The case where \(p\) is on the right side of \(q^*\) can be analyzed similarly. At any moment during the movement of \(p\), let \(Q_L = \{q \mid q \in Q\ \text{and} \ x(q) \leq x(q^*)\}\) and let \(Q_R = Q \setminus Q_L\). According to the definition of \(Ed(p)\), we have

\[
Ed(p) = \sum_{q \in Q} |x(p) - x(q)| = \sum_{q \in Q_L} w(q) \cdot |x(p) - x(q)| + \sum_{q \in Q_R} w(q) \cdot |x(q) - x(p)|
\]

\[
= \left[ \sum_{q \in Q_L} w(q) - \sum_{q \in Q_R} w(q) \right] \cdot x(p) - \sum_{q \in Q_L} w(q) \cdot x(q) + \sum_{q \in Q_R} w(q) \cdot x(q).
\]

Because \(p\) is to the left of \(q^*\), according to the definition of \(q^*\), \(\sum_{q \in Q_L} w(q) \leq W/2 \leq \sum_{q \in Q_R} w(q)\) holds. Further, as \(p\) moves to the right towards \(q^*\), the value \(x(p)\) is monotonically increasing. Hence, as \(p\) moves, the first term in the above equation, i.e., \[\sum_{q \in Q_L} w(q) - \sum_{q \in Q_R} w(q)\] \(\cdot x(p)\), is monotonically decreasing.

As \(p\) moves to the right, the set \(Q_L\) becomes monotonically larger (i.e., \(Q_L\) will have more points) and \(Q_R\) becomes monotonically smaller. Hence, the value \(\sum_{q \in Q_L} w(q)\) is monotonically increasing and the value \(\sum_{q \in Q_R} w(q)\) is monotonically decreasing.

The above discussion leads to the conclusion that as \(p\) moves to the right towards \(q^*\), the value \(Ed(p)\) is monotonically decreasing. The lemma thus follows. \[\square\]

Lemma \[1\] shows that \(Ed(p)\) is a convex function with respect to the position of \(p\) on \(L\), and \(Ed(p)\) attains global minimum at \(p = p^*\). Hence, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1** The point \(q^*\) is a global minimum point on \(L\).

Next, we show how to find the ENN \(\psi(P)\) with the help of \(q^*\).

If \(q^*\) is also a point in \(P\), then let \(p_l = p_r = q^*\); otherwise let \(p_l\) be the rightmost point in \(P\) that is to the left of \(q^*\), and let \(p_r\) be the leftmost point in \(P\) that is to the right of \(q^*\). In other words, if \(q^* \not\in P\), \(p_l\) and \(p_r\) are the two adjacent points in the sorted list of \(P\) by their coordinates on \(L\) such that \(x(p_l) < x(q^*) < x(p_r)\). The following lemma is due to Lemma \[1\].

**Lemma 2** The ENN \(\psi(P)\) is one of \(p_l\) and \(p_r\) that has smaller expected distance to \(Q\).

**Proof:** If \(q^* \in P\), the lemma simply follows since \(q^*\) is a global minimum point. Otherwise, consider any point \(p \in P\). If \(x(p) < x(q^*)\), then \(x(p) \leq x(p_l)\) because \(p_l\) is the rightmost point to the left of \(q^*\). By Lemma \[1\] \(Ed(p_l) \geq Ed(p)\). Similarly, if \(x(p) > x(q^*)\), we can prove \(Ed(p) \geq Ed(p_r)\). The lemma thus follows. \[\square\]

According to our above discussion, our query algorithm for finding \(\psi(P)\) works as follows: (1) compute \(q^*\); (2) find \(p_l\) and \(p_r\); (3) compute \(Ed(p_l)\) and \(Ed(p_r)\), and one of \(p_l\) and \(p_r\) with smaller expected distance to \(Q\) is reported as \(\psi(P)\).

In the algorithm above, Step (1) can be done in \(O(k)\) time by the weighted selection algorithm \[1\]. For Step (2), if we sort all points in \(P\) by their coordinates on \(L\) as preprocessing, then \(p_l\) and \(p_r\) can be found in \(O(\log n)\) time by binary search. Step (3) can be easily done in \(O(k)\) time. We conclude with the following theorem.
Theorem 1 Given a set $P$ of $n$ exact points on the real line $L$, with $O(n \log n)$ time and $O(n)$ space preprocessing, the ENN $\psi(P)$ can be found in $O(k + \log n)$ time for any uncertain query point $Q$ on $L$.

3 The $L_1$ ENN Searching in the Plane

In this section, we present our results in the two-dimensional space, where the input point set $P$ and the query point $Q$ are given in the plane.

Our techniques generalize those in Section 2. For any query $Q$, we first find a global minimum $p^*$ in the plane. Then, in each of the four quadrants with respect to $p^*$, we find the ENN of $Q$ in $P$ in that quadrant. Unlike in the 1-d case where the binary search is sufficient, the difficulty here is that it is not easy to find the ENN of $Q$ in each quadrant. To do so, by proving a monotone property as Lemma 1, we show that the ENN must be a on a “skyline” and thus we only need to somehow search the “skyline”. Advanced data structures (e.g., the compact interval trees [14] and the segment-dragging queries [6]) are also used for efficient implementations. The details are given below.

Consider any uncertain query point $Q = \{q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_k\}$. For any point $p$ in the plane, denote by $x(p)$ the $x$-coordinate of $p$ and by $y(p)$ the $y$-coordinate of $p$. Our goal is to find $\psi(P)$, which is a point $p \in P$ that minimizes $E_d(p) = \sum_{q \in Q} w(q) d(p, q)$, where $d(p, q) = |x(p) - x(q)| + |y(p) - y(q)|$.

A point $p$ in the plane is global minimum if it minimizes the expected distance $E_d(p)$ among all points in the plane. Below, we first show how to find a global minimum point.

3.1 Finding a Global Minimum Point

Recall that $W = \sum_{q \in Q} w(q)$. Let $q_x^*$ be the point in $Q$ such that

$$\sum_{x(q) < x(q_x^*)} w(q) < W/2 \text{ and } w(q_x^*) + \sum_{x(q) > x(q_x^*)} w(q) \geq W/2.$$ 

In other words, if we view $w(q)$ as the weight of $x(q)$ for each $q \in Q$, then $x(q_x^*)$ is the weighted median of the set $\{x(q) \mid q \in Q\}$ [11]. Similarly, let $q_y^*$ be the point in $Q$ such that

$$\sum_{y(q) < y(q_y^*)} w(q) < W/2 \text{ and } w(q_y^*) + \sum_{y(q) > y(q_y^*)} w(q) \geq W/2.$$ 

Let $q^*$ be the intersection of the vertical line $x = x(q_x^*)$ and the horizontal line $y = y(q_y^*)$. We claim that $q^*$ is a global minimum point in the plane. To prove the claim, we first prove the following Lemma 3 which generalizes the result in Lemma 1 to the plane. A monotone path in the plane is a curve such that if we move from one endpoint of the curve to the other one, the $x$-coordinate is monotonically changing (either increasing or decreasing) and the $y$-coordinate is also monotonically changing (either increasing or decreasing).

Lemma 3 For any point $p$ in the plane with $p \neq q^*$, if we move $p$ towards $q^*$ along a monotone path, then the expected distance $E_d(p)$ is monotonically decreasing.

Proof: Without loss of generality, assume $p$ is in the third quadrant with respect to $q^*$, i.e., $x(p) \leq x(q^*)$ and $y(p) \leq y(q^*)$. Hence, as $p$ moves along any monotone path $\pi$ towards $q^*$, both
$x(p)$ and $y(p)$ are monotonically increasing. The case where $p$ is in other quadrants can be analyzed similarly. According to the definition of $Ed(p)$, we have

$$Ed(p) = \sum_{q \in Q} w(q) \cdot d(p, q) = \sum_{q \in Q} w(q) \cdot (|x(p) - x(q)| + |y(p) - y(q)|) = \sum_{q \in Q} w(q) \cdot |x(p) - x(q)| + \sum_{q \in Q} w(q) \cdot |y(p) - y(q)|.$$ 

Let $Ed_x(p) = \sum_{q \in Q} w(q) \cdot |x(p) - x(q)|$ and $Ed_y(p) = \sum_{q \in Q} w(q) \cdot |y(p) - y(q)|$. Hence, $Ed(p) = Ed_x(p) + Ed_y(p)$. Intuitively, $Ed_x(p)$ is the value of $Ed(p)$ on the $x$-coordinate and $Ed_y(p)$ is the value of $Ed(p)$ on the $y$-coordinate. In the sequel, by similar approach as in the proof of Lemma 1 we show that as $p$ moves along $\pi$, both $Ed_x(p)$ and $Ed_y(p)$ are monotonically decreasing. We only prove the case of $Ed_x(p)$, and the case of $Ed_y(p)$ can be proved quite analogously.

At any moment during the movement, let $Q_L$ be the subset of points in $Q$ that are to the left or on the vertical line $x = x(q^*)$, i.e., $Q_L = \{ q \mid q \in Q \text{ and } x(q) \leq x(q^*) \}$. Let $Q_R = Q \setminus Q_L$. We have

$$Ed_x(p) = \sum_{q \in Q} w(q) \cdot |x(p) - x(q)| = \sum_{q \in Q_L} w(q) \cdot |x(p) - x(q)| + \sum_{q \in Q_R} w(q) \cdot |x(q) - x(p)|$$

$$= \left[ \sum_{q \in Q_L} w(q) - \sum_{q \in Q_R} w(q) \right] \cdot x(p) - \sum_{q \in Q_L} w(q) \cdot x(q) + \sum_{q \in Q_R} w(q) \cdot x(q).$$

Recall that $q^*$ is the intersection of the vertical line $x = x(q^*)$ and the horizontal line $y = y(q^*_y)$. Since $x(p) \leq x(q^*_x)$, according to the definition of $q^*_x$, $\sum_{q \in Q_L} w(q) \leq W/2 \leq \sum_{q \in Q_R} w(q)$ always holds. Further, as $p$ moves on $\pi$, the value $x(p)$ is monotonically increasing. Hence, as $p$ moves, the first term above, i.e., $(\sum_{q \in Q_L} w(q) - \sum_{q \in Q_R} w(q)) \cdot x(p)$, is monotonically decreasing. As $p$ moves, the set $Q_L$ becomes monotonically larger and $Q_R$ becomes monotonically smaller. Hence, the value $\sum_{q \in Q_L} w(q) \cdot x(q)$ is monotonically increasing and the value $\sum_{q \in Q_R} w(q) \cdot x(q)$ is monotonically decreasing. Therefore, as $p$ moves on $\pi$ towards $q^*$, the value $Ed_x(p)$ is monotonically decreasing.

The lemma thus follows.

Lemma 4 shows that $Ed(p)$ is a convex function with respect to $p$ in the plane, and $Ed(p)$ attains global minimum when $p = q^*$. We have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2** The point $q^*$ is a global minimum point in the plane.

Next, we show how to find $\psi(P)$ with the help of $q^*$. We first introduce the minimal points and the skyline, and give some observations.

### 3.2 The Minimal Points and the Skyline

For each quadrant $R$ of $q^*$, we will find the ENN of $Q$ in $R \cap P$, and $\psi(P)$ is one of the four ENNs with the minimum expected distance to $Q$. In the following, we focus on the first quadrant and the algorithms for the other quadrants are very similar. Note that we view each quadrant as a closed region that includes its two bounding half-lines (with the common endpoint $q^*$).

Let $P_1$ be the subset of the points of $P$ that are in the first quadrant, i.e., $P_1 = \{ p \mid x(p) \geq x(q^*), y(p) \geq y(q^*), p \in P \}$. Our goal is to find $\psi(P_1)$, i.e., the ENN of $Q$ in $P_1$.

For any two different points $p_1$ and $p_2$ in $P_1$, we say that $p_1$ dominates $p_2$ if and only if $x(p_1) \leq x(p_2)$ and $y(p_1) \leq y(p_2)$. A point $p$ in $P_1$ is minimal if no point in $P_1$ dominates $p$ (e.g., see
In contrast, here the set \( M \) may have \( \Theta(n) \) points in the worst case, and thus we cannot afford to check every point of \( M \). Below, we use a different approach.

For each point \( q \) in \( Q \), we introduce a horizontal line through \( q \) and a vertical line through \( q \). Let \( A \) be the arrangement of the 2\( k \) lines introduced above. Each cell of \( A \) is a rectangle, possibly with sides in the infinity. Further, every point in \( Q \) is a vertex of a cell.

Consider any cell \( C \) of \( A \). For any point \( p \in C \), in the sequel, we will show that the expected distance \( \text{Ed}(p) \) is a linear function with respect to \( x(p) \) and \( y(p) \). As discussed in [3], a consequence of this is that the ENN of \( Q \) in \( P \cap C \) is on the convex hull of the points in \( P \cap C \).

Denote by \( l_l \), \( l_r \), \( l_b \), and \( l_t \) the lines containing the left, right, bottom, and top sides of \( C \), respectively. According to the definition of \( A \), no point of \( Q \) lies strictly between \( l_l \) and \( l_r \), and similarly, no point of \( Q \) lies strictly between \( l_b \) and \( l_t \). Let \( Q_L \) be the set of points in \( Q \) to the left or on \( l_l \) and let \( Q_r \) be the set of points in \( Q \) to the right or on \( l_r \). Let \( Q_b \) be the set of points in \( Q \) below or on \( l_b \) and let \( Q_T \) be the set of points in \( Q \) above or on \( l_t \). Hence, \( Q = Q_L \cup Q_R \) and \( Q = Q_B \cup Q_T \). We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4** \( \psi(P_1) \) is the point in \( M \) with the minimum expected distance to \( Q \).

Based on Lemma 4, one tempting approach is to first find the set \( M \) and then choose the point in \( M \) that is nearest to \( Q \). In the 1-D case, this works quite well because \( M \) only has one point. In contrast, here the set \( M \) may have \( \Theta(n) \) points in the worst case, and thus we cannot afford to check every point of \( M \). Below, we use a different approach.

Let \( q^* \) be the point in \( Q \) that dominates \( q \), i.e., \( q \) lies strictly between \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \).

**Lemma 5** For any point \( p \) in the cell \( C \), \( \text{Ed}(p) = C_a \cdot x(p) + C_b \cdot y(p) + C_c \), where

\[
C_a = \sum_{q \in Q_L} w(q) - \sum_{q \in Q_R} w(q), \quad C_b = \sum_{q \in Q_B} w(q) - \sum_{q \in Q_T} w(q),
\]

\[
C_c = \sum_{q \in Q_R} w(q)x(q) - \sum_{q \in Q_L} w(q)x(q) + \sum_{q \in Q_T} w(q)y(q) - \sum_{q \in Q_B} w(q)y(q).
\]

Further, with \( O(k \log k) \) time preprocessing on \( Q \), given any cell \( C \) of \( A \), we can compute \( C_a \), \( C_b \), and \( C_c \) in \( O(\log k) \) time.

**Proof:** The first part (i.e., the values of \( C_a \), \( C_b \), and \( C_c \)) has been discussed in [3] and it can also be easily verified by our analysis in the proof for Lemma 4. Hence, we omit the proof for it.

For the second part, given any cell \( C \), our goal is to compute the three values \( C_a \), \( C_b \), and \( C_c \). Generally speaking, if, as preprocessing, we compute the prefix sums of the values \( w(q) \) and
Lemma 6

The number of cells of \( \pi \) containing the minimal points in \( M \) is \( O(k) \).

Proof: 

Due to our general position assumption that no two points in \( P \cup Q \) have the same \( x \)-coordinate or \( y \)-coordinate. Each edge of \( \pi_M \) is neither horizontal or vertical. Because \( \pi_M \) is a monotone path, each line of \( A \) can intersect \( \pi_M \) at most once. Hence, the number of intersections between \( \pi_M \) and \( A \) is \( O(k) \), which implies that the number of cells that intersect \( \pi_M \) is \( O(k) \). Since all points in \( M \) are on \( \pi_M \), the lemma follows. \( \square \)

Denote by \( C_M \) the set of cells of \( A \) that contain the minimal points in \( M \). Next, we give an algorithm to compute \( C_M \). A straightforward way is to first compute \( A \) and then traverse \( A \) by following the skyline \( \pi_M \). But this approach is not efficient due to: (1) computing \( A \) takes \( \Theta(k^2) \) time; (2) the size of \( \pi_M \) may be \( \Theta(n) \) due to \( |M| = \Theta(n) \) in the worst case. Below in Lemma 7 we propose an \( O(k \log n + k \log k) \) time algorithm with certain preprocessing.

First of all, we sort all points in \( Q \) by their \( x \)-coordinates and \( y \)-coordinates, respectively. Accordingly, we obtain a sorted list for the horizontal lines of \( A \) and a sorted list for the vertical lines of \( A \). With these two sorted lists, given any point \( p \), we can determine the cell of \( A \) that contains \( p \) in \( O(\log k) \) time by doing binary search on both sorted lists.
Lemma 7 With $O(n \log n)$ time and $O(n)$ space preprocessing on $P$, we can compute the set $C_M$ in $O(k \log n + k \log k)$ time.

Proof: One operation frequently used for in our algorithm for computing $C_M$ is the following segment-dragging queries. Given any line segment $s$ that is either horizontal or vertical, we move $s$ along a given direction perpendicular to $s$; the query asks for the first point of $P$ hit by $s$ or reports no such point exists. Chazelle [6] constructed an $O(n)$-size data structure in $O(n \log n)$ time such that each segment-dragging query can be answered in $O(\log n)$ time. As preprocessing, we build such a data structure on $P$.

We call the region between any two adjacent vertical lines in $A$ a column (including the two bounding lines). Let $D_M$ denote the set of columns of $A$ each of which contains at least one cell of $C_M$. We search the columns of $C_M$ from left to right one by one. For each column $D \in C_M$, we search the cells of $C_M$ in $D$ in a bottom-up fashion. After the searching on $D$ is done, we proceed on the next right column of $D_M$. The details are given below.

Note that due to the general position assumption that no two points in $P \cup Q$ have the same $x$- or $y$-coordinate, every point of $P$ is in the interior of a cell of $A$.

We first determine the leftmost column of $D_M$, denoted by $D$, as follows. Let $p_0$ be the leftmost point of $M$. It is easy to see that the column containing $p_0$ is $D$ (e.g., see Fig. 2). Hence, after having $p_0$, $D$ can be determined in $O(\log k)$ time by binary search on the sorted list of the vertical lines of $A$. We determine the point $p_0$ by the following segment-dragging query. Consider a vertical segment $s_0 = q^*|b$ on the vertical line $x = x(q^*)$, where $y(b) = +\infty$ (we may also set $y(b)$ to the $y$-coordinate of the highest point of $P$). In other words, $q^*|b$ is the vertical half-line bounding the first quadrant of $q^*$. Imagine that we drag $s_0$ rightwards (i.e., horizontally to the right). Then, $p_0$ is the first point of $P$ hit by $s_0$. By using the segment-dragging query data structure on $P$, $p_0$ can be found in $O(\log n)$ time.

After $p_0$ is found, we determine the column $D$ as discussed above in $O(\log k)$ time. Further, notice that the cell of $A$ that contains $p_0$ is the highest cell in $D \cap C_M$, and we denote it by $C_h$ (e.g., see Fig. 2). In the sequel, we search the column $D$ in a bottom-up manner to find all cells of $C_M \cap D$. More specifically, we first find the lowest cell of $C_M \cap D$ and then find the second lowest cell of $C_M \cap D$. This searching procedure continues until we meet the highest cell $C_h$. The details are given below.

We first determine the lowest cell $C$ in $C_M \cap D$. To this end, we use another segment-dragging query as follows. Let $s_1$ be the line segment that is the intersection of the column $D$ and the horizontal line $y = y(q^*)$. Imagine that we drag $s_1$ upwards, and let $p_1$ be the first point of $P$ hit by $s_1$ (e.g., see Fig. 2). Observe that $C$ is the cell that contains $p_1$. Hence, after $p_1$ is found by the segment-dragging query in $O(\log n)$ time, $C$ can be determined in additional $O(\log k)$ time.

We proceed to determine the next cell $C'$ in $C_M \cap D$ that is higher than $C$, as follows. We first determine the leftmost point $p_2$ in $C \cap P$ (e.g., see Fig. 2), which can be done again by a segment-dragging query as follows. Let $s_2$ be the left side of $C$. The point $p_2$ is the first point in $P$ hit by dragging $s_2$ rightwards. If $p_2$ is the point $p_0$, then we know that $C$ is $C_l$, in which case the searching on the column $D$ is done. Below, we assume $p_2$ is not $p_0$.

The vertical line through $p_2$ partitions the column $D$ into two vertical sub-columns, and denote by $D_l$ the left sub-column. Let $p_3$ be the lowest point in $P \cap D_l$ (e.g., see Fig. 2). Let $C''$ be the cell containing $p_3$. We claim that $C''$ is is $C'$. We prove the claim in the next paragraph.

Indeed, since $x(p_3) < x(p_2)$ and $p_2$ is the leftmost point in $P \cap C$, $C''$ cannot be $C$. Thus, $C''$ is higher than $C$. On the other hand, suppose to the contrary that $C''$ is not $C'$. Then, $C'$ is above $C$ and below $C''$. Also, $C' \cap D_l$ must contain a point of $M$ since otherwise all minimal points in
Figure 2: Illustrating the algorithm in Lemma 7: the dashed grid is \( A \). The (red) dotted vertical line through \( p_2 \) does not belong to \( A \).

\( C' \cap M \) are dominated by \( p_2 \), contradicting with that \( C' \in C_M \) contains minimal points of \( M \). Since \( C' \) is lower than \( C'' \) and \( C' \cap D_t \) contains minimal points of \( M \), this contradicts with that \( p_3 \in C'' \) is the lowest point in \( P \cap D_t \). Hence, we conclude that \( C'' \) is \( C' \).

To determine \( C' \), it is sufficient to find \( p_3 \), which again can be done by a segment-dragging query, as follows. Let \( s_3 \) the line segment that is the intersection of the sub-column \( D_t \) and the horizontal line containing the top side of \( C' \). If we drag \( s_3 \) upwards, the point \( p_3 \) is the first point in \( P \) hit by \( s_3 \). Therefore, we can determine \( C' \) in \( O(\log n + \log k) \) time.

We continue this procedure to search the cells in \( C_M \cap D \) until we meet the highest cell \( C_l \).

After the searching on the column \( D \) is done, we proceed on the next right column \( D' \) in \( D_M \). We first determine \( D' \) by another segment-dragging query as follows. Recall that \( p_1 \) is the lowest point in \( P \cap D \). Let \( s_4 \) be the vertical line segment on the right bounding line of \( D \) where the lower endpoint of \( s_4 \) is on the horizontal line \( y = y(q^*) \) and the upper endpoint has the same \( y \)-coordinate as \( p_1 \). If we drag the segment \( s_4 \) rightwards, let \( p_4 \) be the first point of \( P \) hit by \( s_4 \) (e.g., see Fig. 2). Then, it is not difficult to see that \( p_4 \) is in \( M \) and the column of \( A \) containing \( p_4 \) is \( D' \). Further, the cell of \( A \) containing \( p_4 \) is the highest cell in \( C_M \cap D' \). Hence, after \( p_4 \) is found, \( D' \) and the highest cell in \( C_M \cap D' \) can be determined in \( O(\log k) \) time. Next, we proceed to search all cells in \( C_M \cap D' \) in a bottom-up manner, in the same way as in the column \( D \). Note that if the above segment-dragging query fails to find any point (i.e., such a point \( p_4 \) does not exists), then all cells of \( C_M \) have been found, and we terminate the algorithm.

For the running time, as shown above, for each cell in \( C_M \), the algorithm spends \( O(\log n + \log k) \) time. Due to \( |C_M| = O(k) \) (by Lemma 8), computing \( C_M \) takes \( O(k \log n + k \log k) \) time.

Clearly, the preprocessing needs \( O(n \log n) \) time and \( O(n) \) space. The lemma thus follows.

Lemma 8 The ENN \( \psi(P) \) is in one of the cells of \( C_M \).

3.3 Computing the ENN

To compute \( \psi(P_1) \), once we have the set \( C_M \), we compute the ENN of \( Q \) in \( C \cap P \) for each cell \( C \in C_M \). By Lemma 8 among the \( O(k) \) ENNs founded above, the one minimizing the expected distance to \( Q \) is \( \psi(P_1) \). The key is to compute the ENN of \( Q \) in each cell \( C \in C_M \) efficiently. An \( O(n \log^2 n) \)-size data structure is given in [3] that can be built in \( O(n \log^2 n) \) time and can compute
the ENN in each cell $C \in A$ in $O(\log^3 n)$ time. By using the compact interval trees \cite{14}, we have the following improved results.

**Lemma 9** An $O(n \log n \log \log n)$-size data structure can be built in $O(n \log n \log \log n)$ time, such that given any cell $C \in A$, the ENN of $Q$ in $P \cap C$ can be computed in $O(\log^2 n)$ time.

**Proof:** Our data structure use the compact interval tree [14], which is for solving the following

sub-path hull queries in [14]. Given a simple path $\pi$ of $n$ vertices in the plane. Suppose the vertices

are $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ ordered along $\pi$. Given two vertex indices $i$ and $j$ with $i \leq j$, the sub-path hull

query asks for the convex hull of all vertices $v_i, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_j$. A compact interval tree data structure

is given in \cite{14} and for each sub-path hull query, in $O(\log n)$ time, it can report a data structure

that (implicitly) represents the convex hull such that any standard binary-search based operations

on the convex hull can be implemented in $O(\log n)$ time (e.g., finding an extreme point on the

convex hull along any given direction). The compact interval tree is of $O(n \log n)$ size and can

be built in $O(n \log \log n)$ time after the vertices of $\pi$ are sorted by their $x$- or $y$-coordinates.

Our data structure for the lemma is constructed as follows. In the high-level, it is similar to

the two-dimensional orthogonal range-tree \cite{13}. A balanced binary search tree $T$ is built based on

the $x$-coordinates of the points in $P$. The leaves of $T$ store the points of $P$ in sorted order from

left to right, and the internal nodes store splitting values to guild the search on $T$. For each node

$v$ of $T$, it also stores the subset $P(v)$ of points of $P$ in the subtree of $T$ rooted at $v$, and $P(v)$

called the canonical subset of $v$. For each canonical subset $P(v)$, we build a compact interval tree

in the following way. If we sort the points of $P(v)$ by their $y$-coordinates and connect each pair of

adjacent points in the sorted list by a line segment, then we can obtain a path $\pi(v)$. The points in

$P(v)$ are vertices of $\pi(v)$. Note that $\pi(v)$ is a simple path and each horizontal line intersects $\pi(v)$
at most once. We build a compact interval tree data structure on $\pi(v)$ using the approach in \cite{14}. This

finishes the construction of our data structure.

For the preprocessing time and space, for each canonical subset $P(v)$, constructing the compact

interval tree data structure on $\pi(v)$ takes $O(m \log \log m)$ time and space, where $m = |P(v)|$.

Note that the $y$-sorted list of $P(v)$ can be built during the construction of $T$ in a bottom-up

manner. Hence, the whole data structure takes $O(n \log n \log \log n)$ space and can be constructed

in $O(n \log n \log \log n)$ time.

Given any cell $C \in A$, which is rectangle, our goal is to find the ENN of $Q$ in $C \cap P$. Essentially,

we are looking for an extreme point in $C \cap P$ along a certain direction. As discussed in \cite{3}, this

direction is determined by the two factors $C_a$ and $C_b$ as defined in Lemma 5. We assume we have

already known this direction (e.g., $C_a$, $C_b$, and $C_c$ can be computed in $O(\log k)$ time by Lemma 5).

Denote by $\sigma$ the above direction.

Let $x_l$ and $x_r$ be the $x$-coordinates of the two vertical lines bounding $C$, respectively, with

$x_l \leq x_r$. Let $y_b$ and $y_l$ be the $y$-coordinates of the two horizontal lines bounding $C$, respectively, with $y_b \leq y_l$. Using the range $[x_l, x_r]$, we first find the $O(\log n)$ canonical subsets whose union are

the set of points in $P$ between the two vertical lines $x = x_l$ and $x = x_r$. For each such canonical

subset $P(v)$, we use the range $[y_b, y_l]$ to determine the sub-path of $\pi(v)$ contained in $C$, which can

be done by binary search on the $y$-sorted list of $P(v)$; subsequently, we use the compact interval

tree data structure on $\pi(v)$ to (implicitly) report the convex hull of the sub-path, after which we search the extreme point on the convex hull along the direction $\sigma$ in $O(\log n)$ time. In this way, we obtain $O(\log n)$ extreme points for the $O(\log n)$ canonical subsets, and the one minimizing the expected distance to $Q$ is the ENN of $Q$ in $C \cap P$. Note that since we already have the three factors
$C_a$, $C_b$, and $C_c$ as defined in Lemma 5, for each extreme point found above, its expected distance to $Q$ can be computed in constant time.

Therefore, the ENN of $Q$ in $C \cap P$ can be found in $O(\log^2 n)$ time. $\square$

### 3.4 Wrapping Things Up

We summarize our data structure and algorithm for computing $\psi(P,Q)$.

Our preprocessing on $P$ includes the following procedures. (1) Sort all points in $P$ by their $x$-coordinates and $y$-coordinates, respectively. (2) Construct the segment-dragging query data structure on $P$. (3) Build the data structure for Lemma 5 i.e., the range tree with compact interval trees as the secondary data structures. The total time and space are dominated by (3), i.e., $O(n \log n \log \log n)$ time and $O(n \log n \log \log n)$ space.

Given any uncertain query point $Q$, our query algorithm for computing $\psi(P,Q)$ includes the following steps. (1) Sort all points in $Q$ by their their $x$-coordinates and $y$-coordinates, respectively. (2) Process $Q$ as in Lemma 5. (3) Compute the global minimum point $q^*$. (4) Divide the plane into four quadrants with respect to $q^*$. In each quadrant $R$, we find the ENN of $Q$ in $P \cap R$ in the following way. Suppose $R$ is the first quadrant. (4.1) Find the set $C_M$ by Lemma 7. (4.2) For each cell $C$ in $C_M$, find the ENN of $Q$ in $P \cap C$ by Lemma 9; among the $O(k)$ ENNs, the one with the minimum expected distance to $Q$ is the ENN of $Q$ in $R \cap P$. (5) Among the four ENNs found from each quadrant of $q^*$, the one with the minimum expected distance to $Q$ is $\psi(P,Q)$. For the running time of the query algorithm, the first three steps can be done in $O(k \log k)$ time; Step (4) can be done in $O(k \log^2 n + k \log k)$ time. Hence, the total time is bounded by $O(k \log^2 n + k \log k)$.

In summary, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2** Given a set $P$ of $n$ exact points in the plane, a data structure of $O(n \log n \log \log n)$ size can be built in $O(n \log n \log \log n)$ time so that for any uncertain query point $Q$, the ENN $\psi(P,Q)$ can be found in $O(k \log^2 n + k \log k)$ time.

### 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we present improved results on nearest neighbor queries in the plane where the data is exact and the query is uncertain under the $L_1$ distance metric. Our improvements are based on two aspects: one is a deeper understanding of underlying geometric properties, and the other is the usage of more advanced data structures. We also present an efficient data structure for the same problem in the one-dimensional space where the distance is measured by either the $L_1$ or $L_2$ metric.
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